

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Vision States and Trademark Office Vision

| APPLICATION NO | E.UNC-DATE | E.E.S.L. Z.W.E.D. D.Z.E.N.LOB. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | CONTRACTION NO |
|--|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10/251,516 | 12-29-2069) | Verkatesar, Midali | 42300P (123se) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | \$ p = 2 mr2 | | | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN | | | ENANUNER | |
| 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 | | KIANNI, KAVEH C | | |
| | | | ARTINII | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED 11:06:2002

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09:751.516 MURALI, VENKATESAN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin C Kianni 2877 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the pro-sions of 3° CFR 1,136 at Ininibilities to nowever, may a reply be time if feet after SFX+6: MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than third, i.d., days in reply within the statutor, minimum of third, i.d. days will be considered time.
TNO period to irreply is specified attitute the maximum statutor, period will apply and will expire Six 16 MCNTHS from the making date of this cummum, at or Failure to repr. within the set or extended period for repr. A ILD, statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED 135 USIC § 133 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed imay reduce any earner patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 17.4 b Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 24-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Caim(s) is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is. a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

📆 Not and References Class (2010 Red)

| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
|--|
| a) All b) Some c) None of: |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and or 121 |
| Attachment(s) |

Notice of Oratisperson's Patent Chawing ลังและพฤติกันวันสู้ เ

Land Draftsperson's Platent Chawing Rev. 946 PT 1949
Land formation Distribute Statement's PT 1944 Planet No. 8
Land formation Distribute Statement's PT 1944 Planet No. 8

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

meriliew Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nins)

Notice of Informal Parent Application (PTVI-15)

Application/Control Number: 09/751,516 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of claims 1-10 without traverse and cancellation of claims 11 in paper No. 11 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Content of Specification

A brief summary of invention is required:

- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because certain/essential numbered elements of the drawings in figures 1-12 are not labeled by names. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,516 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1-2, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Adkins (US 6163233).

Regarding claim 1, Adkins teaches a multi-level waveguide (shown at least in fig. 1; see abstract) comprising: a first substrate 20 (col. 4, line 23) having a first opening therethrough (fig. 1, item 30; see col. 4, lines 61-64); a second substrate 22, attached to said first substrate 20, having a second opening therethrough 30 and aligned with the first opening in said first substrate (shown in fig. 1, item 30; see col. 4, lines 22 and 61-64); a transparent material inserted in said first substrate hole, and a transparent material inserted in said second substrate hole (see fig. 1, vias 30 etched/drilled through substrates 20 and 22; also col. 5, lines 4-6; wherein epoxy resin is transparent material).

Regarding claim 2, Adkins does not specifically teach wherein said transparent material is a gas. Nonetheless, inherently, the empty vias 30 contain air that contains gas such as oxygen and nitrogen. It is also conventional to insert specific gas to vias

Application/Control Number: 09/751,516

Art Unit: 2877

for purpose of producing a light source, as in reference US 6194833, is provided herein as prior art.

Regarding claim 8, Adkins further teaches a source of electromagnetic radiation attached to said first substrate (see col. 6, lines 4-34).

Regarding claim 10, Adkins further teaches a conductive layer on said second substrate (see fig. 1, item 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adkins.

Regarding claim 9, Adkin teaches all limitations of claim 1. However, does not specifically teach wherein a detector of electromagnetic radiation is attached to said first substrate. Nonetheless, Adkin states that any circuit components can be attached to substrate layers (see col. 6, lines 44-51). Thus it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to modify Adkins multi-level waveguide (shown in fig. 1) by attaching a detector of electromagnetic radiation to it, since the resultant

Application/Control Number: 09/751,516

Art Unit: 2877

device would improve the system performance such as for controlling impedance signal tracks at different substrates (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 4).

8. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combination of Adkins and Carey et al. (US 5219787).

Regarding claims 3- Adkins teaches all limitations of claim 1. However, Adkins does not teach wherein the said transparent material is cladding, grown on the inside of the substrate hole, it is comprised of an outer cladding and a separate inner transparent material, wherein transparent material is an optical fiber, wherein said first substrate is made of silicon, wherein said transparent material and said first substrate are made of the same material, a source of electromagnetic radiation attached to said first substrate, a detector of electromagnetic radiation attached to said second substrate. These limitations are taught by Carey. Carey teaches multiplayer substrate containing vias (shown at least in fig. 32; see abstract) wherein transparent material is an optical fiber (see fig. 32, item 158; see col. 61, line 61-col. 10, line 2; wherein cladding with a glass conductor is an optical fiber, also an optical waveguide containing cladding is an optical fiber, see col. 10, lines 14-25), wherein said first substrate is made of silicon (see col. 9, lines 6-18), wherein said transparent material and said first substrate are made of the same material (see figures 3 and 4, wherein the polyimide material 14 layer is same as the filled polyimide 24 in vias created in figurer 4). Thus, Carey provides flexibility in designing multi-level waveguides by altering the substrates materially or electronically and improving the over all system performance (col. 1, lines 50-59). Thus, it would

Art Unit: 2877

have been it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to modify Adkins multi-level waveguide (shown in fig. 1) by combining Carey's teachings on optical materials in order to produce an optical system that includes the above limitations since the resultant device would improve the system performance such as for controlling impedance signal tracks at different substrates (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 4).

Citation of Relevant Prior Art

9. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In accordance with MPEP 707.05 the following references are pertinent in rejection of this application since they provide substantially the same information disclosure as this patent does. These references are:

Adkins et al. 6072375 Teaches at least claim 1.

DeTemple et al. 6194833 Teaches inserting gas in via(s) of substrates

Tsukamoto et al. 6438281

Kim et al. 6355198

Koh 5761350

Fouquet et al. 5390210

These references are cited herein to show the relevance of the apparatus/methods taught within this reference as prior art.

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (703) 308-1216.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Frank Font, can be reached at (703) 308-4881.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-7722, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-7721, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

12.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kevin Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877 Frank Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877

October 23, 2002